PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference BP109937a	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416										
International application No. PCT/FI2004/000476	International filing date (day/month/yea 13.08.2004	r) Priority date (day/month/year) 15.08.2003										
International Patent Classification (IPC) or C08J3/09, D21C3/20, C08J3/28	national classification and IPC											
Applicant KEMIRA OYJ et al.												
This report is the international p Authority under Article 35 and tr	reliminary examination report, establish ansmitted to the applicant according to	hed by this International Preliminary Examining Article 36.										
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.												
3. This report is also accompanied	3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:											
a. sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:												
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this repo and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).												
☐ sheets which supers beyond the disclosur Supplemental Box.	ede earlier sheets, but which this Authre in the international application as file	ority considers contain an amendment that goes d, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the										
sequence listing and/or to	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type a ables related thereto, in computer read e Listing (see Section 802 of the Admir	nd number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a able form only, as indicated in the Supplemental nistrative Instructions).										
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following items:											
☐ Box No. I Basis of the op	pinion											
☐ Box No. II Priority												
☐ Box No. III Non-establishi	ment of opinion with regard to novelty,	inventive step and industrial applicability										
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity o		•										
applicability; ci	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement											
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum												
	s in the international application											
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	rations on the international application											
Date of submission of the demand	Date of compl	etion of this report										
14.06.2005	22.09.2005	22.09.2005										
Name and mailing address of the internation	nal Authorized Of	Authorized Officer										
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	·	Day of the state o										
гах. +49 б9 2399 - 4465	Telephone No	. +49 89 2399-7582										

10/568458

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/FI2004/000476

								ğ	AP20	Dec O	PCI	TO	151	FEB	2006
	Во	x No. I	Basis of	the repo	rt										
1.	Wit file	lith regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was ed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.													
		which inte	eport is bas is the lang ernational s plication of ernational p	uage of a earch (un the intern	translati der Rule ational a	on furnis es 12.3 a applicatio	shed and 2 and (ur	for the _l 3.1(b)) nder Ru	ourposes le 12.4)	of:		ı lang	uage ,		
2.	hav	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>								ets which in this					
	Des	scription	ı, Pages					•							
	1-14	4			as orig	inally file	d								
	Claims, Numbers														
	1-22	1-22		as orig	inally filed	d		,							
		a sequ	ence listin	g and/or a	ny relate	d table	s) - s	ee Supp	olementa	ıl Box F	lelating t	to Sec	quence	Listin	g
3.		☐ the☐ the☐ the☐ the☐	nendments description claims, No drawings, sequence table(s) re	n, pages s. sheets/figs listing <i>(sp</i>	s ecify):										
4.	□ had Sup	not been plemen the the the the any	eport has been made, so tal Box (Rudescription claims, No drawings, sequence table(s) re	ince they ule 70.2(c), pages s. sheets/figs listing (sp. lated to so	have be). s ecify): equence	en consi	speci	d to go∣ (fy):	oeyond t	he disc	losure a	s filed	l, as in	dicated	d in the
	*	It ite	em 4 app	Lies, s	ome or	all o	t th	ese sl	neets m	nay be	marke	d "s	upers	eded.	H

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-8,12-22

No: Claims

9-11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-22

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

10/569458

JAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 15 FEB 2006

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000476

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

Reference is made to the following documents (D):

D1: [Online] XP002306091 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://bama.ua.edu/~rdrogers/sandiego/ > [retrieved on 2001-04]

D2: WO 03/029329 A (PG RES FOUNDATION INC ; UNIV ALABAMA (US)) 10 April 2003 (2003-04-10)

D3: US-A-4 000 032 (BERGSTROM JOHN RICKARD ET AL) 28 December 1976 (1976-12-28)

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Novelty:

None of the documents available disclose dissolving lignocellulosic material in the presence of an ionic solvent and with the help of either pressure of microwaves. Consequently, the subject-matter of present claims 1-8 and 12-22 is novel.

Document **D1** discloses the dissolution (at least the partial dissolution) of lignocellulosic material with the help of ionic solvents.

While it is true that the title is worded "biomass derivatives", the abstract as such discloses the treatment of "lignocellulosic biomass" (derivatives omitted), which thus implies that not only is it highly probable, but also unambiguously derivable, that the dissolution of natural untreated lignocellulosic material is likewise disclosed in **D1**.

Since it is in the resulting solution not detectable whether microwave of pressure has been applied in the dissolution step, the subject-matter of present claims 9-11 is not novel with respect to **D1** (Article 33(2) PCT).

2. <u>Inventive step:</u>

Document **D1** is considered to represent the closest prior art.

The differentiating feature between the subject-matter as presently claimed and the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/FI2004/000476

disclosures of **D1** is, that the present application claims the application of either pressure or microwave radiation during the dissolution step.

The objective problem must, however, be regarded as merely providing an alternative, since the applicant has not shown that this additional step is in any way useful, or even necessary.

In order to state an objective problem other than an alternative, the application as originally filed ought to have contained comparative examples clearly proving that the additional application of pressure and/or microwave radiation leads to an unexpected technical effect.

The person skilled in the art, attempting to solve this problem, was however, aware from document **D2** that microwaves in combination with ionic solvents is beneficial for the dissolution of cellulose, and furthermore, from document **D3** that the application of microwaves was also beneficial for the dissolution of lignocellulosic materials.

Consequently, it would have been obvious for the person skilled in the art, when attempting to find an alternative dissolution method, to investigate the applicability of microwaves when dissolving lignocellulosic materials with ionic solvents, especially since the person skilled in the art was merely looking for an alternative process, and furthermore even the an incentive from **D3** to apply microwave radiation.

Therefore, and especially due to the absence of appropriate comparative tests, the subject-matter of present claims 1-22 does not involve the presence of an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).